

Legacy Encryption Downgrade Attacks against LibrePGP and CMS $% \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{CMS}}$

Work in the scope of Project 480 - "PQC@Thunderbird"

Secur Ty made in Germany

©MTG AG

Falko Strenzke, Johannes Roth

- work in the scope of Project 480 "PQC@Thunderbird"
- Legacy encryption: encryption modes w/o integrity protection
- Target "modern encryption" modes in
 - Cryptographic Message Syntax
 - X.509 certificates
 - basis for S/MIME
 - ▶ LibrePGP
 - a recent fork of the OpenPGP standard

- work in the scope of Project 480 "PQC@Thunderbird"
- ▶ Legacy encryption: encryption modes w/o integrity protection
- Target "modern encryption" modes in
 - Cryptographic Message Syntax
 - X.509 certificates
 - basis for S/MIME
 - LibrePGP
 - a recent fork of the OpenPGP standard

- ▶ work in the scope of Project 480 "PQC@Thunderbird"
- ▶ Legacy encryption: encryption modes w/o integrity protection
- Target "modern encryption" modes in
 - Cryptographic Message Syntax
 - X.509 certificates
 - ▶ basis for S/MIME
 - ▶ LibrePGP
 - ▶ a recent fork of the OpenPGP standard

- ▶ work in the scope of Project 480 "PQC@Thunderbird"
- ▶ Legacy encryption: encryption modes w/o integrity protection
- Target "modern encryption" modes in
 - Cryptographic Message Syntax
 - X.509 certificates
 - basis for S/MIME
 - ▶ LibrePGP
 - ▶ a recent fork of the OpenPGP standard

- ▶ work in the scope of Project 480 "PQC@Thunderbird"
- ▶ Legacy encryption: encryption modes w/o integrity protection
- Target "modern encryption" modes in
 - Cryptographic Message Syntax
 - X.509 certificates
 - basis for S/MIME
 - ▶ LibrePGP
 - a recent fork of the OpenPGP standard

- ▶ work in the scope of Project 480 "PQC@Thunderbird"
- ▶ Legacy encryption: encryption modes w/o integrity protection
- Target "modern encryption" modes in
 - Cryptographic Message Syntax
 - X.509 certificates
 - basis for S/MIME
 - ▶ LibrePGP
 - ▶ a recent fork of the OpenPGP standard

- ▶ work in the scope of Project 480 "PQC@Thunderbird"
- ▶ Legacy encryption: encryption modes w/o integrity protection
- Target "modern encryption" modes in
 - Cryptographic Message Syntax
 - X.509 certificates
 - basis for S/MIME
 - LibrePGP
 - ▶ a recent fork of the OpenPGP standard

- ▶ work in the scope of Project 480 "PQC@Thunderbird"
- ▶ Legacy encryption: encryption modes w/o integrity protection
- Target "modern encryption" modes in
 - Cryptographic Message Syntax
 - X.509 certificates
 - basis for S/MIME
 - LibrePGP
 - a recent fork of the OpenPGP standard



Legacy Encryption Downgrade Attacks against LibrePGP and CMS

Introduction

Decryption Oracle Attacks against Cryptographic Message Syntax Plaintext manipulation attacks against LibrePGP AEAD Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets Legacy Mode Downgrade Attacks against AES Key Wrap Conclusion

Introduction

Decryption Oracle Attacks against Cryptographic Message Syntax

Plaintext manipulation attacks against LibrePGP AEAD

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

Legacy Mode Downgrade Attacks against AES Key Wrap

Conclusion

- ▶ Goal: decryption of messages
- Asymmetric
 - ▶ Bleichenbacher's padding oracle attack against RSA with PKCS#1 v1.5 padding
 - Manger's Attack against RSA with OAEP padding
- Symmetric
 - Vaudenay's CBC padding oracle attacks
 - error answer based on correct or incorrect padding
 - Format oracles
 - plaintext processing checks format (character set, etc.)



Classical Decryption Oracle Attacks

- Goal: decryption of messages
- Asymmetric
 - ▶ Bleichenbacher's padding oracle attack against RSA with PKCS#1 v1.5 padding
 - Manger's Attack against RSA with OAEP padding
- Symmetric
 - Vaudenay's CBC padding oracle attacks
 - error answer based on correct or incorrect padding
 - Format oracles

plaintext processing checks format (character set, etc.)



Classical Decryption Oracle Attacks

- Goal: decryption of messages
- Asymmetric
 - ▶ Bleichenbacher's padding oracle attack against RSA with PKCS#1 v1.5 padding
 - Manger's Attack against RSA with OAEP padding
- Symmetric
 - Vaudenay's CBC padding oracle attacks
 - error answer based on correct or incorrect padding
 - Format oracles

plaintext processing checks format (character set, etc.)



Classical Decryption Oracle Attacks

- Goal: decryption of messages
- Asymmetric
 - ▶ Bleichenbacher's padding oracle attack against RSA with PKCS#1 v1.5 padding
 - Manger's Attack against RSA with OAEP padding
- Symmetric
 - Vaudenay's CBC padding oracle attacks
 - error answer based on correct or incorrect padding
 - Format oracles

plaintext processing checks format (character set, etc.)



- Goal: decryption of messages
- Asymmetric
 - ▶ Bleichenbacher's padding oracle attack against RSA with PKCS#1 v1.5 padding
 - Manger's Attack against RSA with OAEP padding
- Symmetric
 - Vaudenay's CBC padding oracle attacks
 - error answer based on correct or incorrect padding
 - Format oracles





- Goal: decryption of messages
- Asymmetric
 - ▶ Bleichenbacher's padding oracle attack against RSA with PKCS#1 v1.5 padding
 - Manger's Attack against RSA with OAEP padding
- Symmetric
 - Vaudenay's CBC padding oracle attacks
 - error answer based on correct or incorrect padding
 - Format oracles





- Goal: decryption of messages
- Asymmetric
 - ▶ Bleichenbacher's padding oracle attack against RSA with PKCS#1 v1.5 padding
 - Manger's Attack against RSA with OAEP padding
- Symmetric
 - Vaudenay's CBC padding oracle attacks
 - error answer based on correct or incorrect padding
 - Format oracles



- Goal: decryption of messages
- Asymmetric
 - ▶ Bleichenbacher's padding oracle attack against RSA with PKCS#1 v1.5 padding
 - Manger's Attack against RSA with OAEP padding
- Symmetric
 - Vaudenay's CBC padding oracle attacks
 - error answer based on correct or incorrect padding
 - Format oracles



- Goal: decryption of messages
- Asymmetric
 - ▶ Bleichenbacher's padding oracle attack against RSA with PKCS#1 v1.5 padding
 - Manger's Attack against RSA with OAEP padding
- Symmetric
 - Vaudenay's CBC padding oracle attacks
 - error answer based on correct or incorrect padding
 - Format oracles
 - plaintext processing checks format (character set, etc.)











CFB decryption and its malleability



ability to mask actual plaintext in a reversible way













CBC decryption and its malleability



CBC decryption oracle = ECB decryption oracle

Decryption oracle attacks against modern cipher modes

Classical oracle attacks

- I arget ciphertext: CFE
- ▶ ciphertext decrypted by the oracle: CFB
- Oracle attacks using downgrades

 - ciphertext decrypted by the oracle: CFB (or CBC)

Decryption oracle attacks against modern cipher modes

Classical oracle attacks

- I arget ciphertext: CFB
- ▶ ciphertext decrypted by the oracle: CFB
- Oracle attacks using downgrades
 - Image: Second State S
 - ciphertext decrypted by the oracle: CFB (or CBC)

Decryption oracle attacks against modern cipher modes

- Classical oracle attacks

 - ▶
 fraction of the oracle: CFB
- Oracle attacks using downgrades

 - ciphertext decrypted by the oracle: CFB (or CBC)

Decryption oracle attacks against modern cipher modes

- Classical oracle attacks

 - ▶
 fraction of the oracle: CFB
- Oracle attacks using downgrades

 - ▶ ciphertext decrypted by the oracle: CFB (or CBC)
Decryption oracle attacks against modern cipher modes

- Classical oracle attacks

 - ▶
 fraction of the oracle: CFB
- Oracle attacks using downgrades

 - ▶
 Ciphertext decrypted by the oracle: CFB (or CBC)

Decryption oracle attacks against modern cipher modes

- Classical oracle attacks

 - ▶
 fraction of the oracle: CFB
- Oracle attacks using downgrades

 - ▶
 fractional product of the state of t







Introduction

Decryption Oracle Attacks against Cryptographic Message Syntax

Plaintext manipulation attacks against LibrePGP AEAD

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

Legacy Mode Downgrade Attacks against AES Key Wrap

Conclusion



CMS realizes two AES-based AEAD modes

- ► AES-CCM
- ► AES-GCM
- both perform encryption using CTR-mode
- Legacy encryption mode in CMS: CBC
- previous work: Tibor Jager, Kenneth G. Paterson, and Juraj Somorovsky. One bad apple: Backwards compatibility attacks on state-of-the-art cryptography. In 20th Annual Network and Distributed System Security Symposium, NDSS 2013, San Diego, California, USA, February 24-27, 2013, 2013. https://www.ndss-symposium.org/ndss2013/ndss-2013-programme/ one-bad-apple-backwards-compatibility-attacks-state-art-cryptography/.



- CMS realizes two AES-based AEAD modes
 - ► AES-CCM
 - ► AES-GCM
- both perform encryption using CTR-mode
- Legacy encryption mode in CMS: CBC
- previous work: Tibor Jager, Kenneth G. Paterson, and Juraj Somorovsky. One bad apple: Backwards compatibility attacks on state-of-the-art cryptography. In 20th Annual Network and Distributed System Security Symposium, NDSS 2013, San Diego, California, USA, February 24-27, 2013, 2013. https://www.ndss-symposium.org/ndss2013/ndss-2013-programme/ one-bad-apple-backwards-compatibility-attacks-state-art-cryptography/.



- CMS realizes two AES-based AEAD modes
 - AES-CCM
 - AES-GCM
- both perform encryption using CTR-mode
- Legacy encryption mode in CMS: CBC
- previous work: Tibor Jager, Kenneth G. Paterson, and Juraj Somorovsky. One bad apple: Backwards compatibility attacks on state-of-the-art cryptography. In 20th Annual Network and Distributed System Security Symposium, NDSS 2013, San Diego, California, USA, February 24-27, 2013, 2013. https://www.ndss-symposium.org/ndss2013/ndss-2013-programme/ one-bad-apple-backwards-compatibility-attacks-state-art-cryptography/.



- CMS realizes two AES-based AEAD modes
 - AES-CCM
 - AES-GCM
- both perform encryption using CTR-mode
- Legacy encryption mode in CMS: CBC

previous work: Tibor Jager, Kenneth G. Paterson, and Juraj Somorovsky. One bad apple: Backwards compatibility attacks on state-of-the-art cryptography. In 20th Annual Network and Distributed System Security Symposium, NDSS 2013, San Diego, California, USA, February 24-27, 2013, 2013. https://www.ndss-symposium.org/ndss2013/ndss-2013-programme/ one-bad-apple-backwards-compatibility-attacks-state-art-cryptography/.



- CMS realizes two AES-based AEAD modes
 - AES-CCM
 - AES-GCM
- both perform encryption using CTR-mode
- Legacy encryption mode in CMS: CBC

previous work: Tibor Jager, Kenneth G. Paterson, and Juraj Somorovsky. One bad apple: Backwards compatibility attacks on state-of-the-art cryptography. In 20th Annual Network and Distributed System Security Symposium, NDSS 2013, San Diego, California, USA, February 24-27, 2013, 2013. https://www.ndss-symposium.org/ndss2013/ndss-2013-programme/ one-bad-apple-backwards-compatibility-attacks-state-art-cryptography/.

- CMS realizes two AES-based AEAD modes
 - AES-CCM
 - AES-GCM
- both perform encryption using CTR-mode
- Legacy encryption mode in CMS: CBC
- previous work: Tibor Jager, Kenneth G. Paterson, and Juraj Somorovsky. One bad apple: Backwards compatibility attacks on state-of-the-art cryptography. In 20th Annual Network and Distributed System Security Symposium, NDSS 2013, San Diego, California, USA, February 24-27, 2013, 2013. https://www.ndss-symposium.org/ndss2013/ndss-2013-programme/ one-bad-apple-backwards-compatibility-attacks-state-art-cryptography/.

Revealing low entropy blocks in plaintext





Revealing low entropy blocks in plaintext





Revealing low entropy blocks in plaintext



Revealing low entropy blocks in plaintext



Revealing low entropy blocks in plaintext



Revealing low entropy blocks in plaintext



©MTG AG

Revealing low entropy blocks in plaintext



©MTG AG

Falko Strenzke, Johannes Roth

Revealing low entropy blocks in plaintext



Revealing low entropy blocks in plaintext



CBC padding oracle

CBC operates only on full plaintext blocks

- padding needed
- padding in CMS:
 - ▶ 13 bytes of content || 0x3 || 0x3 || 0x3
- padding oracle:
 - if decrypting party reveals whether padding was incorrect.
- padding oracle attack
 - placing the target ciphertext block as final block
 - "playing" with the final bytes
 - padding error reveals information about value of final bytes

- CBC operates only on full plaintext blocks
- padding needed
- padding in CMS:
 - 13 bytes of content || 0x3 || 0x3 || 0x3
- padding oracle:
 - if decrypting party reveals whether padding was incorrect.
- padding oracle attack
 - placing the target ciphertext block as final block
 - "playing" with the final bytes
 - padding error reveals information about value of final bytes

- CBC operates only on full plaintext blocks
- padding needed
- padding in CMS:
 - ▶ 13 bytes of content || 0x3 || 0x3 || 0x3
- padding oracle:
 - if decrypting party reveals whether padding was incorrect.
- padding oracle attack
 - placing the target ciphertext block as final block
 - "playing" with the final bytes
 - padding error reveals information about value of final bytes

- CBC operates only on full plaintext blocks
- padding needed
- padding in CMS:
 - ▶ *13 bytes of content* || 0x3 || 0x3 || 0x3
- padding oracle:
 - if decrypting party reveals whether padding was incorrect.
- padding oracle attack
 - placing the target ciphertext block as final block
 - "playing" with the final bytes
 - padding error reveals information about value of final bytes

- CBC operates only on full plaintext blocks
- padding needed
- padding in CMS:
 - ▶ *13 bytes of content* || 0x3 || 0x3 || 0x3
- padding oracle:
 - if decrypting party reveals whether padding was incorrect
- padding oracle attack
 - placing the target ciphertext block as final block
 - "playing" with the final bytes
 - padding error reveals information about value of final bytes

- CBC operates only on full plaintext blocks
- padding needed
- padding in CMS:
 - ▶ *13 bytes of content* || 0x3 || 0x3 || 0x3
- padding oracle:
 - if decrypting party reveals whether padding was incorrect
- padding oracle attack
 - placing the target ciphertext block as final block
 - "playing" with the final bytes
 - padding error reveals information about value of final bytes

- CBC operates only on full plaintext blocks
- padding needed
- padding in CMS:
 - ▶ *13 bytes of content* || 0x3 || 0x3 || 0x3
- padding oracle:
 - if decrypting party reveals whether padding was incorrect
- padding oracle attack
 - placing the target ciphertext block as final block
 - "playing" with the final bytes
 - padding error reveals information about value of final bytes

- CBC operates only on full plaintext blocks
- padding needed
- padding in CMS:
 - ▶ *13 bytes of content* || 0x3 || 0x3 || 0x3
- padding oracle:
 - if decrypting party reveals whether padding was incorrect
- padding oracle attack
 - placing the target ciphertext block as final block
 - "playing" with the final bytes
 - padding error reveals information about value of final bytes

- CBC operates only on full plaintext blocks
- padding needed
- padding in CMS:
 - ▶ *13 bytes of content* || 0x3 || 0x3 || 0x3
- padding oracle:
 - if decrypting party reveals whether padding was incorrect
- padding oracle attack
 - placing the target ciphertext block as final block
 - "playing" with the final bytes
 - padding error reveals information about value of final bytes

- CBC operates only on full plaintext blocks
- padding needed
- padding in CMS:
 - ▶ 13 bytes of content || 0×3 || 0×3 || 0×3
- padding oracle:
 - if decrypting party reveals whether padding was incorrect
- padding oracle attack
 - placing the target ciphertext block as final block
 - "playing" with the final bytes
 - padding error reveals information about value of final bytes

Countermeasure for CMS

- draft-ietf-lamps-cms-cek-hkdf-sha256
- defines new algorithm identifier for symmetric which indicates use of prior key HKDF derivation
- cea-CEKHKDFSHA256 CONTENT-ENCRYPTION ::= {
 IDENTIFIER id-alg-cek-hkdf-sha256
 PARAMS TYPE ContentEncryptionAlgorithmIdentifier ARE required
 SMIME-CAPS { IDENTIFIED BY id-alg-cek-hkdf-sha256 } }
 - PRK = HKDF-Extract(salt, IKM)
 - DEK = HKDF-Expand(PRK, AlgorithmID, OKM_SIZE)
- Cross-algorithm attack: derived key is different

Introduction

Decryption Oracle Attacks against Cryptographic Message Syntax

Plaintext manipulation attacks against LibrePGP AEAD

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

Legacy Mode Downgrade Attacks against AES Key Wrap

Conclusion

Cross-Algorithm Attacks against LibrePGP AEAD



LibrePGP AEAD:

- ▶ GnuPC
- ► RNP

Cross-Algorithm Attacks against LibrePGP AEAD



- LibrePGP AEAD:
 - GnuPG
 - ► RNP

Cross-Algorithm Attacks against LibrePGP AEAD



- LibrePGP AEAD:
 - GnuPG
 - RNP
Key Derivation for AEAD in RFC 9580



LibrePGP: session-key = DEK

Key Derivation for AEAD in RFC 9580



LibrePGP: session-key = DEK

Key Derivation for AEAD in RFC 9580



LibrePGP: session-key = DEK



CFB decryption oracle as an ECB encryption oracle





CFB decryption oracle as an ECB encryption oracle



CFB decryption oracle as an ECB encryption oracle



- An SED decryption oracle can be used to decrypt SED packages (legacy attack)
- An SED decryption oracle provides an ECB encryption oracle
 - can we use an ECB encryption oracle to attack AEAD mode? Open PGP AEAD acc. to RFC 9580: ruled out by key derivative
 - but no key derivation in LibrePGP

- An SED decryption oracle can be used to decrypt SED packages (legacy attack)
- ▶ An SED decryption oracle provides an ECB encryption oracle
 - can we use an ECB encryption oracle to attack AEAD mode?
 - OpenPGP AEAD acc. to RFC 9580: ruled out by key derivation
 - but no key derivation in LibrePGP

- An SED decryption oracle can be used to decrypt SED packages (legacy attack)
- ▶ An SED decryption oracle provides an ECB encryption oracle
 - can we use an ECB encryption oracle to attack AEAD mode?
 - OpenPGP AEAD acc. to RFC 9580: ruled out by key derivation
 - but no key derivation in LibrePGP

- An SED decryption oracle can be used to decrypt SED packages (legacy attack)
- ▶ An SED decryption oracle provides an ECB encryption oracle
 - can we use an ECB encryption oracle to attack AEAD mode?
 - OpenPGP AEAD acc. to RFC 9580: ruled out by key derivation
 - but no key derivation in LibrePGP

- An SED decryption oracle can be used to decrypt SED packages (legacy attack)
- ▶ An SED decryption oracle provides an ECB encryption oracle
 - can we use an ECB encryption oracle to attack AEAD mode?
 - ▶ OpenPGP AEAD acc. to RFC 9580: ruled out by key derivation
 - but no key derivation in LibrePGP

MTG

"OCB Packet"

- supported modes
 - OCI
 - EAX (deprecated)
- "chunked AEAD"

- "OCB Packet"
 - supported modes
 - ► OCE
 - EAX (deprecated)
 - "chunked AEAD"

- "OCB Packet"
 - supported modes
 - OCB
 - EAX (deprecated)
 - "chunked AEAD"

- "OCB Packet"
 - supported modes
 - OCB
 - EAX (deprecated)
 - "chunked AEAD"

- "OCB Packet"
 - supported modes
 - OCB
 - EAX (deprecated)
 - "chunked AEAD"

LibrePGP chunked AEAD



OCB Encryption

- OCB encryption uses only block cipher (e.g. AES) block-encryption
- CFB decryption uses only block-encryption
- Thus: use CFB-decryption as an oracle!
- Insert data into an existing LibrePGP OCB Packet



- OCB encryption uses only block cipher (e.g. AES) block-encryption
- CFB decryption uses only block-encryption
- Thus: use CFB-decryption as an oracle!
- Insert data into an existing LibrePGP OCB Packet



- OCB encryption uses only block cipher (e.g. AES) block-encryption
- CFB decryption uses only block-encryption
- Thus: use CFB-decryption as an oracle!
- Insert data into an existing LibrePGP OCB Packet



- OCB encryption uses only block cipher (e.g. AES) block-encryption
- CFB decryption uses only block-encryption
- ▶ Thus: use CFB-decryption as an oracle!
- Insert data into an existing LibrePGP OCB Packet



- OCB encryption uses only block cipher (e.g. AES) block-encryption
- CFB decryption uses only block-encryption
- Thus: use CFB-decryption as an oracle!
- Insert data into an existing LibrePGP OCB Packet



```
1: procedure OCB-ENCRYPT(k \in \{0,1\}^{\text{keylen}}, N \in \{0,1\}^{120}, A \in \{0,1\}^*, P \in \{0,1\}^*)
 2:
          \tilde{m} = ||P|/128|
 3:
          parse P as P_1 || P_2 || ... || P_{\tilde{m}} || P_* where |P_i| = 128 for each 1 \le i \le \tilde{m} and 0 \le |P_*| < 128
 4:
          compute values L_*, L_{\$}, and L_i for 0 \le i (dbl. in GF(2<sup>128</sup>) using E_k([0]^{128}))
 5:
                                                                                                             Setup L_{\dots} and G_0 values
          f = E_k(\mathcal{N}[1:122] \| [0]^6) //  "Ktop"
 6:
 7:
 8:
          G_0 = \dots // inital mask
 9:
          s_0 = [0]^{128} //  "Checksum"
10:
          for 1 \le i \le \tilde{m} do
          G_{i} = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} Encryption loop
11:
             C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i) with input and
12:
13:
              s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i
                                              output whitening
14:
          end for
15:
          if |P_*| > 0 then
16:
           \tilde{n} \leftarrow \tilde{m} + 1
                                                   Special case of
                                           non-full final
17:
              . . .
              u = E_k(G_{\tilde{n}}) /  "Pad"
18:
                                                  plaintext block
19:
20:
          else
21:
               \tilde{n} \leftarrow \tilde{m}
22:
          end if
          T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_{\$}) \oplus \text{HASH}(K, A) \leftarrow \text{Compute the auth. tag}
23:
24:
          return C = C_1 \parallel C_2 \parallel \dots \parallel C_{\tilde{n}} \parallel T[1: \text{taglen}]
25: end procedure
```

```
1: procedure OCB-ENCRYPT(k \in \{0,1\}^{\text{keylen}}, N \in \{0,1\}^{120}, A \in \{0,1\}^*, P \in \{0,1\}^*)
 2:
           \tilde{m} = ||P|/128|
 3:
           parse P as P_1 || P_2 || ... || P_{\tilde{m}} || P_* where |P_i| = 128 for each 1 \le i \le \tilde{m} and 0 \le |P_*| < 128
 4:
           compute values L_*, L_{\$}, and L_i for 0 \le i (dbl. in GF(2<sup>128</sup>) using E_k([0]^{128}))
 5:
                                                                                                                     Setup L and
 6:
           f = E_{k}(\mathcal{N}[1:122] || [0]^{6}) //  "Ktop"
 7:
                                                                                                                     G_0 values
 8:
           G_0 = \dots // inital mask
 9:
           s_0 = [0]^{128} / / "Checksum"
10:
           for 1 < i < \tilde{m} do
                                          Encryption loop
11:
                G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{\operatorname{ntz}(i)}
                                                                                      1st question
12:
               C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i) with input and
                                                                                      to ECB
13:
               \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_{i-1} \oplus P_i
                                                 output whitening
                                                                                      oracle
14:
           end for
15:
           if |P_*| > 0 then
16:
               \tilde{n} \leftarrow \tilde{m} + 1
                                                       Special case of
17:
                . . .
                                                     > non-full final
18:
                u = E_k(G_{\tilde{n}}) // "Pad"
                                                       plaintext block
19:
20:
           else
21:
                \tilde{n} \leftarrow \tilde{m}
22:
           end if
           T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_{\$}) \oplus \text{HASH}(K, A) \leftarrow \text{Compute the auth. tag}
23:
24:
           return C = C_1 \parallel C_2 \parallel \dots \parallel C_{\tilde{n}} \parallel T[1: \text{taglen}]
25: end procedure
```



OCB Hash

```
1: procedure OCB-HASH(key k \in \{0,1\}^{|K|}, additional data A \in \{0,1\}^*)
 2:
          L_{\star} = E_{\iota}([0]^{128})
 3:
        L_{\mathfrak{s}} = \operatorname{ocbDouble}(L_{*})
 4:
        L_0 = \text{ocbDouble}(L_s)
        L_i = \text{ocbDouble}(L_{i-1}) for any integer i > 0
 5:
 6:
          m = ||A|/128|
 7:
          parse A as A_1 || A_2 || ... || A_m || A_* where |A_i| = 128 for each 1 \le i \le m and 0 \le |A_*| < 128
 8:
          F_0 = [0]^{128} / / \text{Offset}
 9:
          for i \leftarrow 1 to m do
10:
              F_i = F_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)}
11:
          end for
12:
          if |A_*| > 0 then
13:
          n \leftarrow m + 1
14:
           F_n = F_m \oplus L_*
              A_n = (A_* || 1 || [0]^{127 - |A_*|})
15:
16:
          else
17:
               n \leftarrow m
18:
          end if
          S_0 = [0]^{128} // Sum
19:
20:
        for i ← 1 to n do
21:
               S_i = S_{i-1} \oplus E_k(A_i \oplus F_i)
22:
          end for
23:
          return S = S_n
```

24: end procedure

Summary: LibrePGP OCB ciphertext manipulation

- For now, consider only the cryptographic layer
- Legacy SED Packets
 - implement CFB encryption
 - assuming a CFB decryption oracle
 - realizes an ECB encryption oracle
- LibrePGP OCB Packets
 - 🕨 uses chunked AEAD 👘 🔤 🔤 🚥
- OCB encryption
 - uses only block cipher encryption
 - $\triangleright \quad \rightarrow \quad$

OCB encryption under unknown key is possible with access to ECB encryption oracle

Attack

Summary: LibrePGP OCB ciphertext manipulation

- For now, consider only the cryptographic layer
- Legacy SED Packets
 - implement CFB encryption
 - assuming a CFB decryption oracle
 - realizes an ECB encryption oracle
- LibrePGP OCB Packets
 - 🕨 uses chunked AEAD 👘 🔤 🔤 🚥
- OCB encryption
 - uses only block cipher encryption
 - $\vdash \rightarrow$

OCB encryption under unknown key is possible with access to ECB encryption oracle

Attack

Summary: LibrePGP OCB ciphertext manipulation

- For now, consider only the cryptographic layer
- Legacy SED Packets
 - implement CFB encryption
 - assuming a CFB decryption oracle
 - realizes an ECB encryption oracle
- LibrePGP OCB Packets
 - 🕨 uses chunked AEAD 👘 🔤 🔤 🚥
- OCB encryption
 - uses only block cipher encryption
 - $\triangleright \rightarrow$

OCB encryption under unknown key is possible with access to ECB encryption oracle

Attack

Summary: LibrePGP OCB ciphertext manipulation

- For now, consider only the cryptographic layer
- Legacy SED Packets
 - implement CFB encryption
 - assuming a CFB decryption oracle
 - realizes an ECB encryption oracle
- LibrePGP OCB Packets
 - 🕨 uses chunked AEAD 👘 🔤 🔤 🚥
- OCB encryption
 - uses only block cipher encryption
 - $\triangleright \rightarrow$

OCB encryption under unknown key is possible with access to ECB encryption oracle

Attack

exchange or append chunk to existing ciphertext with unknown plaintext

Falko Strenzke, Johannes Roth

Summary: LibrePGP OCB ciphertext manipulation

- For now, consider only the cryptographic layer
- Legacy SED Packets
 - implement CFB encryption
 - assuming a CFB decryption oracle
 - realizes an ECB encryption oracle
- LibrePGP OCB Packets
 - 🕨 uses chunked AEAD 👘 🔤 🔤 🚥
- OCB encryption
 - uses only block cipher encryption
 - $\vdash \rightarrow$

OCB encryption under unknown key is possible with access to ECB encryption oracle

Attack

exchange or append chunk to existing ciphertext with unknown plaintext

Falko Strenzke, Johannes Roth

Summary: LibrePGP OCB ciphertext manipulation

- For now, consider only the cryptographic layer
- Legacy SED Packets
 - implement CFB encryption
 - assuming a CFB decryption oracle
 - realizes an ECB encryption oracle
- LibrePGP OCB Packets
 - uses chunked AEAD
- OCB encryption
 - uses only block cipher encryption
 - $\triangleright \quad \rightarrow \quad$

OCB encryption under unknown key is possible with access to ECB encryption oracle

Attack

Summary: LibrePGP OCB ciphertext manipulation

- For now, consider only the cryptographic layer
- Legacy SED Packets
 - implement CFB encryption
 - assuming a CFB decryption oracle
 - realizes an ECB encryption oracle
- LibrePGP OCB Packets
 - 🕨 uses chunked AEAD 🛛 🗠 🗠 🕶
- OCB encryption
 - uses only block cipher encryption
 - $\vdash \rightarrow$

OCB encryption under unknown key is possible with access to ECB encryption oracle

Attack

Summary: LibrePGP OCB ciphertext manipulation

- For now, consider only the cryptographic layer
- Legacy SED Packets
 - implement CFB encryption
 - assuming a CFB decryption oracle
 - realizes an ECB encryption oracle
- LibrePGP OCB Packets
 - 🕨 uses chunked AEAD 🛛 🗠 🗠 🕶
- OCB encryption
 - uses only block cipher encryption

ightarrow ightarrow

OCB encryption under unknown key is possible with access to ECB encryption oracle

Attack

Summary: LibrePGP OCB ciphertext manipulation

- ▶ For now, consider only the cryptographic layer
- Legacy SED Packets
 - implement CFB encryption
 - assuming a CFB decryption oracle
 - realizes an ECB encryption oracle
- LibrePGP OCB Packets
 - 🕨 uses chunked AEAD 🛛 🗠 🗠 🕶
- OCB encryption
 - uses only block cipher encryption
 - ightarrow \rightarrow

OCB encryption under unknown key is possible with access to ECB encryption oracle

Attack

Summary: LibrePGP OCB ciphertext manipulation

- For now, consider only the cryptographic layer
- Legacy SED Packets
 - implement CFB encryption
 - assuming a CFB decryption oracle
 - realizes an ECB encryption oracle
- LibrePGP OCB Packets
 - 🕨 uses chunked AEAD 🛛 🗠 🗠 🕶
- OCB encryption
 - uses only block cipher encryption
 - \blacktriangleright \rightarrow

OCB encryption under unknown key is possible with access to ECB encryption oracle

Attack
Summary: LibrePGP OCB ciphertext manipulation

- ▶ For now, consider only the cryptographic layer
- Legacy SED Packets
 - implement CFB encryption
 - assuming a CFB decryption oracle
 - realizes an ECB encryption oracle
- LibrePGP OCB Packets
 - 🕨 uses chunked AEAD 🛛 🗖 🗖 🕶 🕶
- OCB encryption
 - uses only block cipher encryption
 - \blacktriangleright \rightarrow

OCB encryption under unknown key is possible with access to ECB encryption oracle

Attack

> exchange or append chunk to existing ciphertext with unknown plaintext

Summary: LibrePGP OCB ciphertext manipulation

- For now, consider only the cryptographic layer
- Legacy SED Packets
 - implement CFB encryption
 - assuming a CFB decryption oracle
 - realizes an ECB encryption oracle
- LibrePGP OCB Packets
 - 🕨 uses chunked AEAD 🛛 🗖 🗖 🕶 🕶
- OCB encryption
 - uses only block cipher encryption
 - \blacktriangleright \rightarrow

OCB encryption under unknown key is possible with access to ECB encryption oracle

- Attack
 - exchange or append chunk to existing ciphertext with unknown plaintext

Insertion of LibrePGP AEAD chunk



It's not that simple: expected plaintext structure in SED (CFB) oracle

So far: cryptographic attack

- Not accounting for
 - availability of SED decryption
 - OpenPGP plaintext format

It's not that simple: expected plaintext structure in SED (CFB) oracle

- So far: cryptographic attack
- Not accounting for
 - availability of SED decryption
 - OpenPGP plaintext format

It's not that simple: expected plaintext structure in SED (CFB) oracle

- So far: cryptographic attack
- Not accounting for
 - availability of SED decryption
 - OpenPGP plaintext format

It's not that simple: expected plaintext structure in SED (CFB) oracle

- So far: cryptographic attack
- Not accounting for
 - availability of SED decryption
 - OpenPGP plaintext format

Availability and exploitability of SED decryption

- ▶ GnuPG (CLI) in default configuration
 - outputs the SED plaintext
 - non-zero exit code and warning (stderror)

```
gpg: WARNING: message was not integrity protected
gpg: decryption forced to fail!
```

- RNP
 - supports SED unrestricted
 - implements quick-check
 - ▶ omitted detail: OpenPGP SED CFB encryption uses two-step CFB encryption
 - Quick-check (redundancy test): requires the equality of two 2-byte pairs at the start of the plaintext
 - random ciphertext fails this check with 2⁻¹⁶
 - Quick check is vulnerability in itself!

Two-step CFB encryption in SED Packet

- 1: procedure SED-DEC_K($H || B_1 || \dots || B_m$) with $H \in \{0, 1\}^{144}$ and $B_i \in \{0, 1\}^{128}$
- 2: $Y \leftarrow \text{CFB-DECRYPT}_{\mathcal{K}}([0]^{128}, H) // Y \in \{0, 1\}^{128+16}$
- 3: **if** have quick-check AND $Y[96:127] \neq Y[128:143]$ **then**
- 4: Abort with error
- 5: end if
- 6: IV $\leftarrow H[16:143]$
- 7: return CFB-DECRYPT_K(IV, $B_1 \parallel \ldots \parallel B_m$)
- 8: end procedure

MTG

decrypted plaintext must be either

- Literal Data (LIT) Packet
- (Compressed Data)
- (Signed Data)
- (Encrypted Data)

- decrypted plaintext must be either
 - Literal Data (LIT) Packet
 - (Compressed Data)
 - (Signed Data)
 - (Encrypted Data)

- decrypted plaintext must be either
 - Literal Data (LIT) Packet
 - (Compressed Data)
 - (Signed Data)
 - (Encrypted Data)

- decrypted plaintext must be either
 - Literal Data (LIT) Packet
 - (Compressed Data)
 - ▶ (Signed Data)
 - (Encrypted Data)

- decrypted plaintext must be either
 - Literal Data (LIT) Packet
 - (Compressed Data)
 - (Signed Data)
 - (Encrypted Data)



- > Attacker's ciphertext will decrypt to plaintexts with random appearance
- Plaintext must by chance be decodable as LIT Packet



- Need to vary the leading part until a plaintext is returned
- How to know the offset of returned plaintext into "message"?

Random appearance of LIT Packet in SED (CFB) oracle

- > Attacker's ciphertext will decrypt to plaintexts with random appearance
- Plaintext must by chance be decodable as LIT Packet



- Need to vary the leading part until a plaintext is returned
- How to know the offset of returned plaintext into "message"?



- > Attacker's ciphertext will decrypt to plaintexts with random appearance
- Plaintext must by chance be decodable as LIT Packet



- Need to vary the leading part until a plaintext is returned
- How to know the offset of returned plaintext into "message"?



- > Attacker's ciphertext will decrypt to plaintexts with random appearance
- Plaintext must by chance be decodable as LIT Packet



- Need to vary the leading part until a plaintext is returned
- ▶ How to know the offset of returned plaintext into "message"?



- > Attacker's ciphertext will decrypt to plaintexts with random appearance
- Plaintext must by chance be decodable as LIT Packet



- Problems:
 - Need to vary the leading part until a plaintext is returned
 - How to know the offset of returned plaintext into "message"?

Crafting Ciphertexts for the initial oracle question



Crafting Ciphertexts for the initial oracle question



Crafting Ciphertexts for the initial oracle question



2n question: single query reusing the leading "random" blocks (offset now known):





Summary of LibrePGP AEAD OCB Chunk Encryption Attack

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - ▶ 1st oracle-question:
 - wary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at ended with many queries (w.100).
 - ▶ 2nd oracle-question:
 - s reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the endo
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

Summary of LibrePGP AEAD OCB Chunk Encryption Attack

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - ▶ 1st oracle-question
 - \sim vary leading part of the diphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at ended \sim many queries (e.100)
 - ▶ 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful so place new blocks for encryption query statute en so single success
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - 1st oracle-question:
 - vary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at end
 many queries (≈ 100)
 - 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the end
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - 1st oracle-question:
 - ▶ vary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at end
 - ▶ many queries (≈ 100)
 - 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the end
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - 1st oracle-question:
 - vary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at end
 - ▶ many queries (≈ 100
 - 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the end
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - Ist oracle-question:
 - \blacktriangleright vary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at end
 - many queries (≈ 100)
 - 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the end
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - Ist oracle-question:
 - vary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at end
 - many queries (≈ 100)
 - 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the end
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - Ist oracle-question:
 - vary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at end
 - many queries (≈ 100)
 - 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the end
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - Ist oracle-question:
 - vary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at end
 - many queries (≈ 100)
 - 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the end
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - Ist oracle-question:
 - vary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at end
 - ▶ many queries (≈ 100)
 - 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the end
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - Ist oracle-question:
 - vary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at end
 - ▶ many queries (≈ 100)
 - 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the end
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - Ist oracle-question:
 - vary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at end
 - ▶ many queries (≈ 100)
 - 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the end
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - Ist oracle-question:
 - vary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at end
 - ▶ many queries (≈ 100)
 - 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the end
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG
Summary of LibrePGP AEAD OCB Chunk Encryption Attack

- Exploit legacy SED Packet decryption to encrypt OCB chunk under same key
- Challenge: valid LIT packet must appear in decrypted data
- Attack execution:
 - Ist oracle-question:
 - vary leading part of the ciphertext, place blocks for ECB encryption at end
 - ▶ many queries (≈ 100)
 - 2nd oracle-question:
 - reuse the leading part that was successful
 - place new blocks for encryption query at the end
 - single query
- High number of queries for 1st question:
 - possibly compensate by multi-user attack
 - victim sees only 2 queries which it has to answer
- ▶ Successfully implemented (C++) against GnuPG

Introduction

Decryption Oracle Attacks against Cryptographic Message Syntax

Plaintext manipulation attacks against LibrePGP AEAD

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

Legacy Mode Downgrade Attacks against AES Key Wrap

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

OCB encryption

•

- compute mask values:
 - $E_k([0]^{128}) //$ 1st oracle-question • $f = E_k(\mathcal{N}[1:122] \| [0]^6) //$ 1st oracle-questio • $G_0 = \dots$
- For $1 \le i \le block_count$
 - $G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} // (1st oracle-question)$
 - $C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i) / 2$ nd oracle-question: Guesses for P_t
 - $\bullet \ s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i$
- •

▶ $T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_{\$}) \oplus HASH(K, A)$ // not needed

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

- ► ...
- compute mask values:
 - *E_k*([0]¹²⁸) // 1st oracle-question
 f = *E_k*(*N*[1 : 122] || [0]⁶) // 1st oracle-question
 *G*₀ = ...
- For $1 \le i \le block_count$
 - $G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} // (1st oracle-question)$
 - $C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i) / 2$ nd oracle-question: Guesses for P_t
 - $\bullet \ s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i$
- •
- ▶ $T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_{\$}) \oplus HASH(K, A)$ // not needed

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

- ► ...
- compute mask values:
 - *E_k*([0]¹²⁸) // 1st oracle-question
 f = *E_k*(*N*[1:122] ∥[0]⁶) // 1st oracle-question
 *G*₀ = . . .
- For $1 \le i \le block_count$
 - $G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} / /$ (1st oracle-question)
 - $C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i)$ // 2nd oracle-question: Guesses for P_t
 - $\bullet \ s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i$
- •
- ▶ $T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_{\$}) \oplus HASH(K, A)$ // not needed

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

- ► ...
- compute mask values:
 - $E_k([0]^{128}) //$ 1st oracle-question • $f = E_k(\mathcal{N}[1:122] || [0]^6) //$ 1st oracle-question
 - $G_0 = \dots$
- For $1 \le i \le block_count$
 - $G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} // (1st oracle-question)$
 - $C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i) / 2$ nd oracle-question: Guesses for P_t
 - $\bullet \ s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i$
- •
- → $T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_s) \oplus HASH(K, A)$ // not needed

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

OCB encryption

- ► ...
- compute mask values:
 - $E_k([0]^{128}) //$ 1st oracle-question • $f = E_k(N[1:122] \parallel [0]^6) //$ 1st oracle
 - $f = E_k(\mathcal{N}[1:122] \parallel [0]^6) //$ 1st oracle-question
 - $G_0 = \ldots$
- For $1 \le i \le block_count$
 - $G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} // (1st oracle-question)$
 - $C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i) / / 2nd oracle-question: Guesses for <math>P_t$
 - $\bullet \ s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i$
- ► ...

▶ $T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_{\$}) \oplus HASH(K, A)$ // not needed

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

- ► ...
- compute mask values:
 - $E_k([0]^{128}) //$ 1st oracle-question
 - $f = E_k(\mathcal{N}[1:122] || [0]^6) // 1$ st oracle-question
 - $G_0 = \dots$
- For $1 \le i \le block_count$
 - $G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} //$ (1st oracle-question)
 - $C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i) // 2nd$ oracle-question: Guesses for P_t
 - $\bullet \ s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i$
- ▶ ...
- ▶ $T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_{\$}) \oplus HASH(K, A)$ // not needed

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

- ► ...
- compute mask values:
 - $E_k([0]^{128}) // \text{ 1st oracle-question}$
 - $f = E_k(\mathcal{N}[1:122] || [0]^6) // 1$ st oracle-question
 - $G_0 = \ldots$
- For 1 ≤ i ≤ block_count
 - $G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} //$ (1st oracle-question)
 - $C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i) / / 2nd \text{ oracle-question: Guesses for } P_i$
 - $\bullet \ s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i$
- •
- → $T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_{\$}) \oplus HASH(K, A)$ // not needed

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

OCB encryption

- ▶
- compute mask values:
 - *E_k*([0]¹²⁸) // 1st oracle-question
 f = *E_k*(*N*[1:122] || [0]⁶) // 1st oracle-question
 *G*₀ = ...
- For $1 \le i \le block_count$
 - $G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} //$ (1st oracle-question)
 - $C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i) // 2$ nd oracle-question: Guesses for P_t • $s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i$

 $= \frac{T - F_{1}(r_{1} - f_{2}(r_{1} - f_{2}) - f_{2}(r_{1} - f_{2})}{T - F_{2}(r_{2} - f_{2})}$

OCB encryption

- ▶ ...
- compute mask values:
 - $E_k([0]^{128}) //$ 1st oracle-question • $f = E_k(\mathcal{N}[1:122] || [0]^6) //$ 1st oracle-question • $G_0 = \dots$
- For $1 \le i \le block_count$

 \triangleright $s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i$

- $G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} // (1st oracle-question)$
- $C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i)^* / 2$ nd oracle-question: Guesses for P_t

compute with CFB-oracle

▶ $T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_{\$}) \oplus HASH(K, A)$ // not needed

 G_i known through fist oracle-question. Assume low entropy plaintext block P_t . Guess for P_t implies guess for C_t .

OCB encryption

▶ ...

• . . .

- compute mask values:
 - $E_k([0]^{128}) //$ 1st oracle-question • $f = E_k(\mathcal{N}[1:122] || [0]^6) //$ 1st oracle-question • $G_0 = \dots$
- For $1 \le i \le block_count$

 \triangleright $s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i$

- $G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} // (1st oracle-question)$
- $C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i)^* / 2$ nd oracle-question: Guesses for P_t

compute with CFB-oracle

→ $T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_{\$}) \oplus HASH(K, A)$ // not needed

 G_i known through fist oracle-question. Assume low entropy plaintext block P_t . Guess for P_t implies guess for C_t .

OCB encryption

- ▶ ...
- compute mask values:
 - ▶ $E_k([0]^{128}) //$ 1st oracle-question ▶ $f = E_k(\mathcal{N}[1:122] || [0]^6) //$ 1st oracle-question ▶ $G_0 = ...$
- For $1 \le i \le block_count$

• $G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} // (1st oracle-question)$

• $C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i)^* / 2$ nd oracle-question: Guesses for P_t

► $s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i$ compute with CFB-oracle

- ▶ ...
- ▶ $T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_{\$}) \oplus HASH(K, A)$ // not needed

 G_i known through fist oracle-question. Assume low entropy plaintext block P_t . Guess for P_t implies guess for C_t .

OCB encryption

- ▶ ...
- compute mask values:
 - ▶ $E_k([0]^{128}) //$ 1st oracle-question ▶ $f = E_k(\mathcal{N}[1:122] || [0]^6) //$ 1st oracle-question ▶ $G_0 = ...$
- For $1 \le i \le block_count$

• $G_i = G_{i-1} \oplus L_{ntz(i)} // (1st oracle-question)$

• $C_i = G_i \oplus E_k(P_i \oplus G_i)^* / 2$ nd oracle-question: Guesses for P_t

► $s_i = s_{i-1} \oplus P_i$ compute with CFB-oracle

- ▶ ...
- ▶ $T = E_k(s_{\tilde{n}} \oplus G_{\tilde{n}} \oplus L_{\$}) \oplus HASH(K, A)$ // not needed

 G_i known through fist oracle-question. Assume low entropy plaintext block P_t . Guess for P_t implies guess for C_t .

Introduction

Decryption Oracle Attacks against Cryptographic Message Syntax

Plaintext manipulation attacks against LibrePGP AEAD

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

Legacy Mode Downgrade Attacks against AES Key Wrap



▶ AES Key Wrap in NIST SP 800-38F, RFC 3394

- Key encryption with 64-bit "integrity check register"
- AES Key Wrap decryption / unwrap uses only $D_k()$
- CMS defines AES Key Wrap
 - Legacy encryption mode: CBC
 - CBC decryption uses block $D_k()$
 - is oracle for AES Key Wrap decryption
- ▶ was observed already by Jager et al.



- ▶ AES Key Wrap in NIST SP 800-38F, RFC 3394
- Key encryption with 64-bit "integrity check register"
- ▶ AES Key Wrap decryption / unwrap uses only D_k()
- CMS defines AES Key Wrap
 - Legacy encryption mode: CBC
 - CBC decryption uses block $D_k()$
 - is oracle for AES Key Wrap decryption
- ▶ was observed already by Jager et al.



- ▶ AES Key Wrap in NIST SP 800-38F, RFC 3394
- Key encryption with 64-bit "integrity check register"
- AES Key Wrap decryption / unwrap uses only $D_k()$
- CMS defines AES Key Wrap
 - Legacy encryption mode: CBC
 - CBC decryption uses block $D_k()$
 - is oracle for AES Key Wrap decryption
- ▶ was observed already by Jager et al.



- ▶ AES Key Wrap in NIST SP 800-38F, RFC 3394
- Key encryption with 64-bit "integrity check register"
- AES Key Wrap decryption / unwrap uses only $D_k()$
- CMS defines AES Key Wrap
 - Legacy encryption mode: CBC
 - CBC decryption uses block D_k()
 - is oracle for AES Key Wrap decryption
- ▶ was observed already by Jager et al.



- ▶ AES Key Wrap in NIST SP 800-38F, RFC 3394
- Key encryption with 64-bit "integrity check register"
- AES Key Wrap decryption / unwrap uses only $D_k()$
- CMS defines AES Key Wrap
 - Legacy encryption mode: CBC
 - CBC decryption uses block D_k()
 - is oracle for AES Key Wrap decryption
- was observed already by Jager et al.



- ▶ AES Key Wrap in NIST SP 800-38F, RFC 3394
- Key encryption with 64-bit "integrity check register"
- AES Key Wrap decryption / unwrap uses only $D_k()$
- CMS defines AES Key Wrap
 - Legacy encryption mode: CBC
 - CBC decryption uses block D_k()
 - ▶ is oracle for AES Key Wrap decryption
- was observed already by Jager et al.



- ▶ AES Key Wrap in NIST SP 800-38F, RFC 3394
- Key encryption with 64-bit "integrity check register"
- AES Key Wrap decryption / unwrap uses only $D_k()$
- CMS defines AES Key Wrap
 - Legacy encryption mode: CBC
 - CBC decryption uses block D_k()
 - is oracle for AES Key Wrap decryption
- was observed already by Jager et al.



- AES Key Wrap in NIST SP 800-38F, RFC 3394
- Key encryption with 64-bit "integrity check register"
- AES Key Wrap decryption / unwrap uses only $D_k()$
- CMS defines AES Key Wrap
 - Legacy encryption mode: CBC
 - CBC decryption uses block D_k()
 - ▶ is oracle for AES Key Wrap decryption
- was observed already by Jager et al.

```
Inputs: Ciphertext, (n+1) 64-bit values {CO, C1, ..., Cn}, and
           Key, K (the KEK).
Outputs: Plaintext, n 64-bit values {P1, P2, ..., Pn}.
1) Initialize variables.
  Set A[s] = C[0] where s = 6n
  For i = 1 to n
      R[s][i] = C[i]
c2) Calculate the intermediate values.
  For t = s to 1
       A[t-1] = MSB(64, AES-1 (K, ((A[t] ^ t) | R[t][n]))
      R[t-1][1] = LSB(64, AES-1 (K, ((A[t]^t) | R[t][n]))
      For i = 2 to n
           R[t-1][i] = R[t][i-1]
3) Output the results.
   If A[0] is an appropriate initial value (see 2.2.3),
   Then
      For i = 1 to n
          P[i] = R[0][i]
  Else
      Return an error
```

MTG

▶ assume 128-bit key:

- ▶ *n* = 2
- loop iterations: $s = 6 \times 2 = 12$
- ▶ 12 oracle queries
- full plaintext (wrapped key) recovery
- CBC padding oracle might be leveraged

- ▶ assume 128-bit key:
 - ▶ *n* = 2
 - loop iterations: $s = 6 \times 2 = 12$
 - ▶ 12 oracle queries
- full plaintext (wrapped key) recovery
- CBC padding oracle might be leveraged

- ▶ assume 128-bit key:
 - ▶ *n* = 2
 - loop iterations: $s = 6 \times 2 = 12$
 - ▶ 12 oracle queries
- full plaintext (wrapped key) recovery
- CBC padding oracle might be leveraged

- ▶ assume 128-bit key:
 - ▶ *n* = 2
 - loop iterations: $s = 6 \times 2 = 12$
 - ▶ 12 oracle queries
- full plaintext (wrapped key) recovery
- CBC padding oracle might be leveraged

- ▶ assume 128-bit key:
 - ▶ *n* = 2
 - loop iterations: $s = 6 \times 2 = 12$
 - ▶ 12 oracle queries
- full plaintext (wrapped key) recovery
- CBC padding oracle might be leveraged

- ▶ assume 128-bit key:
 - ▶ *n* = 2
 - loop iterations: $s = 6 \times 2 = 12$
 - ▶ 12 oracle queries
- full plaintext (wrapped key) recovery
- CBC padding oracle might be leveraged

Introduction

Decryption Oracle Attacks against Cryptographic Message Syntax

Plaintext manipulation attacks against LibrePGP AEAD

Plaintext recovery for low entropy blocks in LibrePGP OCB Packets

Legacy Mode Downgrade Attacks against AES Key Wrap

Summary

	Attacked AEAD mode & direction	oracle type	Exploited legacy mode	Nb questions	Nb queries
CMS	AES-CCM, AES-GCM	inverse	CBC	1	1
	decr (low en-				
		direct		> 12	> 12
	Wrap decr.	direct		2 12	2 12
LibrePGP	OCB encr	direct	CFB	2	≈ 100
	OCB decr	inverse			
	(low entropy				
	block)				

Conclusion

Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP

- email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
- Thunderbird seems not affected according to test

Countermeasure

- note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
- CMS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
- ▶ LibrePGP:
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
- OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation

- Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP
 - email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
 - Thunderbird seems not affected according to test
- Countermeasure
 - note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
 - CMS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
 - LibrePGP:
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
 - OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation

- Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP
 - email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
 - Thunderbird seems not affected according to test
- Countermeasure
 - note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
 CMS: ves new REC (key derivation)
 - CIVIS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
 - LibrePGP
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
 - OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation

- Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP
 - email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
 - Thunderbird seems not affected according to test
- Countermeasure
 - note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
 - CMS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
 - LibrePGP:
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
 - OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation
- Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP
 - email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
 - Thunderbird seems not affected according to test
- Countermeasure
 - note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
 - CMS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
 - LibrePGP:
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
 - OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation

- Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP
 - email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
 - Thunderbird seems not affected according to test
- Countermeasure
 - note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
 - CMS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
 - LibrePGP:
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
 - OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation

- Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP
 - email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
 - Thunderbird seems not affected according to test
- Countermeasure
 - note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
 - CMS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
 - LibrePGP:
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
 - OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation

- Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP
 - email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
 - Thunderbird seems not affected according to test
- Countermeasure
 - note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
 - CMS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
 - LibrePGP:
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
 - OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation

- Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP
 - email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
 - Thunderbird seems not affected according to test
- Countermeasure
 - note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
 - CMS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
 - LibrePGP:
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
 - OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation

- Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP
 - email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
 - Thunderbird seems not affected according to test
- Countermeasure
 - note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
 - CMS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
 - LibrePGP:
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
 - OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation

- Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP
 - email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
 - Thunderbird seems not affected according to test
- Countermeasure
 - note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
 - CMS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
 - LibrePGP:
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
 - OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation

- Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP
 - email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
 - Thunderbird seems not affected according to test
- Countermeasure
 - note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
 - CMS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
 - LibrePGP:
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
 - OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation

- Cryptographic design errors in CMS and LibrePGP
 - email probably not affected (efail countermeasures should prevent this)
 - Thunderbird seems not affected according to test
- Countermeasure
 - note: signatures don't protect the integrity of a message
 - CMS: yes, new RFC (key derivation)
 - new mechanism, must be implemented and used
 - LibrePGP:
 - disable SED decryption
 - attack still possible against GnuPG
 - no update of the spec
 - OpenPGP (RFC 9580):
 - hard-wired key derivation

Thank you for your attention

Dr. Falko Strenzke falko.strenzke@mtg.de +49 6151 8000-24

MTG AG www.mtg.de



AEAD in RFC 9580



